广西科学 Guangxi Sciences 2010, 17(1): 32~ 35

# On 𝔅 --sn-metric Space<sup>\*</sup> 关于𝔅 --sn-度量空间

WANG Pei<sup>1</sup>, LI Zhong-min<sup>2</sup>, LIU Shi-qin<sup>3</sup> 王 培<sup>1</sup>,李忠民<sup>2</sup>,刘士琴<sup>3</sup>

(1. Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yulin Normal University, Yulin, Guangxi, 537000, China; 2. The Second Middle School of Qingtian, Qingtian, Zhejiang, 323900, China; 3. Department of Mathematics, Hengshui University, Hengshui, Hebei, 253811, China)
(1.玉林师范学院数学与计算机科学系,广西玉林 537000, 2.青田二中,浙江青田 323900, 3. 衡水学院数学系,河北衡水 253811)

Certain images of metric spaces have been studied extensively in the past years<sup>[1]</sup>. It plays a very important role in general topology. There are many excellent results which have been made by many people. C. Liu, S. Lin<sup>[2]</sup> defined  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base. It is very well connect weak base and  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base. C. Liu and S. Lin<sup>[2]</sup> prove that X is a quotient, countableto-one image of a metric spaces if and only if X has a point-countable and point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base. From this, they generalized the  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base.

From their definitions, we easy know that a weak base is an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base But  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base may not be weak base. We will give a new concept as a generalization of  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base, and some characterizations of it. Therefore we generalize C. Liu's and S. Lin's results.

作者简介: 王 培 (1980-), 男, 助教, 主要从事一般拓扑学的研究。

Throughout this paper, all spaces are regular  $T_1$ , all maps are continuous and onto, and N is the set of positive integer numbers. Sequence  $\{x_n: n \in N\}$ , sequence  $\{P_n: n \in N\}$  of subsets and sequence  $\{\mathcal{P} \in N\}$ of collections of subsets are abbreviated to  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{P_n\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$  respectively. For terms which are not defined here, please refer to reference [2] and related references.

## 1 Definitions

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a family of subsets of a space X.  $\mathscr{B}$  is said to be an  $\mathfrak{S}_0 - \mathfrak{s}n$  -network for X if  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x(n): x \in X, n \in N\}$  satisfies

(1) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $n \in N$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_x(n)$  is a network at x. It is closed under finite intersections and  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{B}_x(n)$ .

(2) *L* is a sequence converging to  $x \notin L$  in *X*. Then there exist a subsequence L' of *L* and  $n \in N$  such that L' is eventually in  $B_x(n_0)$  for any  $B_x(n_0) \in \mathscr{B}_x(n_0)$ .

X is called  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -weakly first-countable in the sense of Sirois-Dumais<sup>[3]</sup> if X has an  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network

收稿日期: 2009-06-08

修回日期: 2009-10-13

<sup>\*</sup> This project was supported by Guangxi Province Science Foundition (No. 0728035).

 $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x(n): x \in X, n \in N\}, \mathscr{B}_x(n) \text{ is countable for } each x \in X, n \in N.$ 

A space X is called  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -metric space if X has a <sup>e</sup> -locally finite  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network.  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network is a generalization of  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base and *sn* -network. It is very easy to see that  $\Re_0$  -weak base doesn't imply sn network. For example, Sk has a countable So -weak base, but it does not have a countable sn -network (since Frechet space with a countable sn -network has a countable base). sn -network does not imply  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -For example, Uk ( stone-eech base. w eak compactification of k) is  $\$_{0-sn}$  -weakly first-countable since every convergent sequence is finite, but it is not  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  -weakly first-countable, since it is not a sequential space (a sequential space in which every convergent sequence is finite is a discrete space).

**Definition 1.**  $2^{4}$  Let X be a space,  $P \subseteq X$  is called a sequential neighborhood of x in X, if each sequence converging to x in X is eventually in P.

**Definition 1.**  $\mathbf{3}^{(5)}$  Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a sequentially quotient map if whenever  $\{y_n\}$  is a convergent sequence in Y, there is a convergent sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in X with each  $x_k \in f^{-1}(y_{n_k})$ .

**Definition 1. 4** Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be a cover of X, then  $\mathscr{P}$  is called a k -network for X if for any compact set K and for any open set U such that  $K \subset \bigcup \mathscr{P}' \subset U$  for some finite  $\mathscr{P}' \subset \mathscr{P}$ . A space X is called  $\overset{\diamond}{>}$  -space if X has  $\overset{e}{-}$ -locally finite k -network.

## 2 Main results

In this section, we give some characterizations about  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network, and the relations among  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  weak base,  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network, cs -network,  $cs^*$  network are discussed.

**Lemma 2.**  $\mathbf{1}^{[2]}$  X has a point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  weak base  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x (n): x \in X, n \in N\}$ . L be a sequence converging to  $x \in L$  in X. Then there exists a subsequence L' of L and  $n_0 \in N$  such that L' is eventually in  $B_x(n_0, m)$  for any  $m \in N$ .

**Remark 2.1** From the Lemma 2.1, it is easy to know that point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base is  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn - network.

**Remark 2. 2** From the definition of  $\mathfrak{S}_0 - sn - network$ , it is easy to know that  $\mathfrak{S}_0 - sn - network$  is  $cs^*$  -network.

**Theorem 2.1** Point-counable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base is equivalent to a sequential space with a point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network.

**Proof** Sufficiency. Let  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x (n) : x \in X, n \in N\}$  be a point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base of a space X. From Lemma 2. 1, it is easy to see that  $\mathscr{B}$  is point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network of a space X. Since X has point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base, then X is  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak ly first countable space. Therefore X is a sequential space.

Necessity. X is a sequential space with a pointcountable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network  $\mathcal{B}$ . We shall prove that  $\mathcal{B}$ is a Point-counable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -weak base. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network, for each  $x \in X, n \in$  $N, \mathcal{B}_x(n)$  is closed under finite intersections and  $x \in$  $\bigcap \mathcal{B}_x(n)$ . If U is an open set of X and for each  $x \in$  $U, \mathcal{B}_x(n)$  is a network at x, there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $B_x(n) \subset U$ . For any  $x \in X, n \in N$ , there exists  $B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)$  such that  $B_x(n) \subset U$ . We only need to prove that U is an open set of X.

If U is not open in X, then U is not sequentially open set in X. There exists a convergent sequence L in  $X \setminus U$  converging to a point  $x \in U$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_0 -\mathfrak{s} n$  -network, there exist  $\mathfrak{n} \in N$  and a subsequence L' of L such that L' is eventually in any elements of  $\mathcal{B}_x(\mathfrak{n}_0)$ . Therefore there exists  $B_x(\mathfrak{n}_0) \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathfrak{n}_0)$ such that  $B_x(\mathfrak{n}_0) \subset U$ . Then L' is eventually in U. U is a sequentially open set. So U is an open set of X.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}_x(n): x \in X, n \in N\}$  be an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network of a space X and A a subset of X. Then  $\bigcup \{A \cap B_x(n): B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)\}$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network of A.

**Proof** For any  $x \in A$  and  $n \in N$ . It is easy to see that  $\{A \cap B_x(n): B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)\}$  is closed under finite intersections and  $x \in (\cap \mathcal{B}_x(n)) \cap A$ .

For any  $x \in A$  and  $n \in N$ ,  $\{A \cap B_x(n): B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)\}$  is a network at x in A. In fact, if U is open in A, A is a closed subset of X, there exists an open subset V of X such that  $U = V \cap A$ . For any  $x \in U$  and  $n \in N$ , there is a  $B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)$  such that  $x \in B_x(n) \subset V$ . Therefore  $x \in B_x(n) \cap A \in \{B_x(n) \cap A: B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)\}$  and  $B_x(n) \cap A \subset U$ . We shall check that  $\bigcup \{A \cap B_x(n): B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)\}$  is an  $\bigotimes 0$ -sn - network of A.

Let L be a sequence converging to  $x \notin L$  in A.

广西科学 2010年 2月 第 17卷第 1期

Then L be a sequence converging to  $x \notin L$  in X, since  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x (n) : x \in X, n \in N\}$  be an  $\mathfrak{S}_0 - sn$  -network of a space X. There exists a subsequence L' of L and  $n_0$  $\in N$  such that L' is eventually in  $B_x(n_0)$  for any  $B_x(n_0) \in \mathscr{B}_x(n_0), L \subseteq A, \text{ so } L \subseteq A, L' \text{ is eventually in}$  $B_x(n_0) \cap A$  for any  $B_x(n_0) \in \mathscr{B}_x(n_0)$ . Therefore  $\bigcup \{A \cap B_x(n) : B_x(n) \in \mathcal{B}_x(n)\} \text{ is an } \mathfrak{S}_0 - sn$ network of A.

Lemma 2.  $2^{[1]}$ Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be a <sup>e</sup>-hereditarily closure-preserving collection of subsets of a space X. If  $\mathscr{P}$  is a  $cs^*$  -network, then  $\mathscr{P}$  is a k-network of X.

**Theorem 2.3** The following are equivalent for a space X.

(1) X is an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -metric space

(2) X has a <sup>e</sup> -discrete  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -sn -network;

(3) X has a <sup>e</sup>-locally finite  $\Im \circ -sn$  -network;

(4) X is an  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -weakly first-countable and S -space

**Proof** From the definition, it is easy know (1)  $\leftrightarrow$  (3), and (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) is obvious.

We prove  $(3) \rightarrow (4)$ . Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a <sup>e</sup>-locally finite  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network, then  $\mathscr{B}$  is a point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network, X is an  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -weakly first-countable space. From Remark 2. 2,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $cs^*$  -network,  $\mathcal{B}$  be a <sup>e</sup>-locally finite  $\Re_{0}$ -sn-network, then  $\mathscr{B}$  is a <sup>e</sup>hereditarily closure-preserving  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -sn -network. From Lemma 2. 2, X has a <sup>e</sup>-hereditarily closure-preserving k -network. So X be an  $\Re$  -space.

Now we prove (4) (2). X is an  $\Re$  -space, by Theorem 4 in reference [6], we can assume that X has a  $^{\mathrm{e}}$  -discrete  $\sigma$  -network  $\mathscr{P}$  , where  $\mathscr{P}$  is closed under finite intersections. Let  $\bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x(n): x \in X, n \in N\}$  be an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  -sn -network of X. Then for each  $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{R}$ N, since X is an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -weakly first countable,  $\mathscr{B}_x(n)$ is countable, here each  $\mathscr{B}_x(n) = \{B_x(n,m): m \in N\}$ with  $B_x(n,m+1) \subseteq B_x(n,m)$  for each  $m \in N$ . For each  $n \in N$ , let  $\mathscr{P}_x(n) = \{P \in \mathscr{P}: B_x(n, m) \subseteq P \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{P}\}$ some  $m \in N$  }. Then  $\mathcal{P}_x(n)$  is closed under finite intersections  $\bigcup \{ \mathscr{P}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in N \}$  is a <sup>e</sup>-discrete collection.

We shall prove that  $\mathscr{P}_{x}(n)$  is a network of x in X. We only need to prove that there exist  $m \in N, k \in$ N and fixing a neighborhood U of x in X such that  $B_x(n,m) \subseteq P_k$ , therefore  $P_k \in \mathscr{P}_x(n)$  and  $P_k \subseteq U$ . If not, there is a neighborhood U of x in  $X, P \not\subset U$  for

each  $P \in \mathscr{P}_x(n)$ . Write  $\{P \in \mathscr{P} : x \in P \subseteq U\} = \{P_k: k\}$  $\in N$  such that  $B(n,m) \not\subset P_k$  for any  $m, k \in N$ . Pick  $x_{mk} \in B(n,m) \setminus P_k$  for each  $m \ge k$ . Let  $y_i = x_{mk}$ , where i = k + m(m - 1)/2. Then the sequence  $\{y_i\}$ converges to x in X because  $\{B_x(n,m): m \in N\}$  is a decreasing network of x in X. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cs -network of X, there exists  $k, j \in N$  such that  $\{y: i \geq j\} \subset P_k$ . Pick  $i \ge j$  such that  $y_i = x_{mk}$  for some  $m \ge k$ , then  $x_{mk}$  $\in P_k$ , a contradiction.

Put  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{P}_x (n) : x \in X, n \in N\}$ . We shall prove that  $\mathscr{B}$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network. Let L be a sequence converging to  $x \notin L$  in X. Since  $\bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x(n)\}$ :  $x \in X, n \in N$  be an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn -network of a space X. There exists a subsequence L' of L and  $n_0 \in N$  such that L' is eventually in  $B_x(n_0, m)$  for any  $B_x(n_0, m) \in$  $\mathscr{B}_x(n_0)$ . But  $B_x(n_0,m) \subseteq P_x(n_0)$  for some  $m \in N.L'$ is eventually in  $P_x(n_0)$  for any  $P_x(n_0) \in \mathscr{P}_x(n_0)$ . Therefore  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{P}_x (n) : x \in X, n \in N\}$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -sn – network of X.

Y.  $Ge^{[7]}$  give a equivalent characterization about *sn* -metric space. From his proof, we ask the following question naturally.

**Question 2.1** Is a space X with  $e^{-1}$  hereditarily closure-preserving  $\Re_0 - sn$  -network,  $\Re_0 - sn$  -weakly first-countable space and S -space.

**Theorem 2.4** X is a sequentially quotient, countable-to-one image of a metric space if and only if X has point-countable  $\Re_0$ -sn -network.

**Proof** Necessity. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a sequentially quotient, countable-to-one map from a metric space M onto the space X. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a point-countable base for *M*. For each  $y \in M$ , let  $\mathscr{B}_y \subset \mathscr{B}$  be a countable, decreasing local base at y in M. Put  $\mathscr{B}' = \{\mathscr{B}_y: y \in \mathcal{B}_y\}$ M}. Then  $\mathcal{B}'$  is a point-countable family of M. Since f is a countable-to-one map,  $f(\mathcal{B}')$  is a pointcountable in X. We shall prove that  $\bigcup f(\mathscr{B}')$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network.

For each  $y \in M$ , let  $\mathscr{B}_y = \{B_y, i \in N\}$  with each  $B_{y,i+1} \subseteq B_{y,i}$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $f^{-1}(x) = \{x_{i}\}$  $n \in N$ }. Because f is countable-to-one map, let  $\mathscr{P}_{x}(n) = f(\mathscr{B}_{x_{n}})$ . Then  $\bigcup f(\mathscr{B}') = \bigcup \mathscr{P}_{x}(n): x \in$  $X, n \in N$  }. Let U be open in X, for each  $x \in U, n \in$  $N, x_n \in f^{-1}(U)$ , then  $B_{x_n,i} \subset f^{-1}(U)$  for some  $i \in N$ , thus  $f(B_{x_n,i}) \in \mathscr{P}_x(n)$  and  $f(B_{x_n,i}) \subseteq U.L$  be a sequence converging to x in X f is a sequentially

Guangxi Sciences, Vol. 17 No. 1, February 2010

quotient map, there exists sequence S in M convergent to  $x_{n_o} \in f^{-1}(x)$ . For any  $\notin N, B_{x_{n_0}}, \notin \mathcal{B}_{x_{n_0}}$ , from the Lemma 2 1, it is easy to see that  $B_{x_{n_0},i}$  is a sequence neighborhood of  $x_{n_0}, S$  is eventually in  $B_{x_{n_0},i}$  for any  $i \in$ N, so f(S) is eventually in  $f(B_{x_{n_0},i})$  for any  $i \in N$  and  $f(B_{x_{n_0},i}) \in \mathcal{P}_x(n^0), f(S)$  is a subsequence of L and f(S) is eventually in any elements of  $\mathcal{P}_x(n^0)$ . Therefore  $f(\mathcal{B}')$  is an  $\mathfrak{H}_0$ -sn-network.

Sufficiency. Let  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x(n) : x \in X, n \in N\}$  be a point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network. Each  $\mathscr{B}_{x}(n)$ =  $\{B_x(n,m): m \in N\}$  with each  $B_x(n,m+1) \neq B_x(n,m+1)$ m) for each  $m \in N$ . Then any infinite subsequence  $\mathscr{B}_x$ of  $\{B_x (n,m): m \in N\}$  is a network at x in X for each x  $\in X$  and  $n \in N$ . We rewrite  $\mathscr{B} = \{B: T \in I\}$ . Endow I with discrete topology and let  $I_i$  be a copy of I for each  $i \in N$ . For convenience sake, two families  $\{P_n: n \in N\}$  and  $\{Q_n: m \in N\}$  of subsets of a space are said to be cofinal if there exists  $n_0, m_0 \in N$  such that  $P_{n_0^+} = Q_{n_0^+}$  i for every  $i \in N$ . Put  $M = \{T = (T_i)\}$  $\in \prod_{k \in N} I_k \ \{B_i, i \in N\}$  is cofinal to  $\mathscr{B}_{x(T)}(n)$  for som ex (T)  $\in X, n \in N, \{B_T: i \in N\}$  is a network of x(T) }. Define  $f: M \rightarrow X$  as f(T) = x(T). It is easy to see that f is well-defined and onto because X is  $T_2$  and each  $\mathscr{B}_x(n)$  is a network of x in X for each  $n \in N$ . And  $f(T) = \bigcap_{\in N} B_T$  for each  $T = (T) \in M$ . Notice that  $\mathscr{B}$  is point-countable, then f is countable-to-one. Also it is easy to prove f is continuous. We shall prove that f is sequentially quotient map.

Let  $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_x (n): x \in X, n \in N\}$  be a pointcountable  $\otimes_{0} -sn$  -network. It is easy to see that L be a sequence converging to  $x \notin L$  in X. Then there exists a subsequence L' of L and  $n_0 \in N$  such that L' is eventually in  $B_x (n_0, m)$  for any  $m \in N$ . For each  $i \in N$ take  $\mathbb{T} \in I_i$  with  $B_i^T = B_x (n_0, i)$ . Let  $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{T})$ , then  $\mathbb{T}$  $\in M$ . For each  $k \in N$ , put  $n_k = \min\{m \in N: x_k \notin B_x (n_0, m)\}$ . Let  $z_k = (\mathbb{U}(k)) \in \prod_{e \in N} I_i$  as follows if  $i < n_k$ , pick  $\mathbb{U}(k) \in I_i$  with  $B_{\mathbb{U}_i(k)} = B_x (n_0, i)$ ; otherwise pick  $\mathbb{U}(k) \in I_i$  such that  $B_{\mathbb{U}_i(k)} = B_{x_k} (1, i-n_k + 1)$ . Then  $\{B_{\mathbb{U}_i}(k): i \in N\}$  is cofinal to  $\mathscr{B}_{x_k} (1)$ , thus  $z_k \in M$  and  $f(z_k) = x_k$ . On the other hand, for each  $i \in N$  there exists  $k_0 \in N$  such that  $x_k \in B_x (n_0, i)$ . Then  $i < n_k$  when  $k \ge k_0$  by the definition of  $n_k$ , so U(k) = T. It means that  $\{B_{U_i}(k): i \in N\}$  converges to  $T_i$  in the discrete space  $I_i$ . Hence  $z_k$  converges to T in M. Therefore, f is sequentially quotient map.

**Remark 2.3**  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network may not be sn network. If not, point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network is point-countable sn -network. A space with pointcountable sn -network is sequential space, then pointcountable sn -network is point-countable weak base. So point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ -sn -network is point-countable weak base<sup>[8]</sup>. This is not true.

**Remark 2.** 4  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$  -sn -network may not be cs network. Every quotient finite-to-one image of a locally compact metric space does not have a pointcountable cs -network<sup>[9]</sup>. But C. Liu proof that it has point-countable  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$  -weak base<sup>[2]</sup>. Then X has pointcountable  $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$  -metwork.

### Acknowl edgement

The author would like to thank the professor C-Liu for his suggestions.

### References

- Lin S Generalized metric spaces and mapping [M]. Beijing Chinese Science Press, 1995.
- [2] Liu C, Lin S. On countable-to-one maps [J]. Topology Appl, 2007, 154(2): 449-454.
- [3] Sirois-Dumais R. Quasi-and weakly quasi-first-countable spaces[J]. Topology Appl, 1980, 11(3): 223-230.
- [4] Lin S Sequence-covering maps of metric spaces [J]. Topology Appl, 2000, 109(3): 301–314.
- [5] Boone J R, Swiec F. Sequentially quotient mappings[J]. Czech Math J, 1976, 26 174–182.
- [6] Foged L. Characterizations of S -space [J]. Pacific J Math, 1984, 110 59-63.
- [7] Ge Y. On space with a <sup>e</sup>-locally finite universalcs network [J]. Questions Answers in General Topology, 2000, 18(1): 93-96.
- [8] Arhangel'skill A V. Mapping and spaces [J]. Russian Math Surveys, 1966(21): 115-162.
- [9] Lin S, Yoshio Tanaka. Point-countable k -networks, closed maps, and related results [J]. Topology Appl, 1994, 59. 79-86.

(责任编辑:尹 闯)