

Existence of Periodic Solutions for a Kind of High Order Liénard Equations with Deviating Argument*

一类具偏差变元的高阶 Liénard 型方程周期解的存在性

LIU Jia-yu, QIN Rong-cun, FENG Chun-hua

刘佳玉, 覃荣存, 冯春华

(College of Mathematical Sciences, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, Guangxi, 541004, China)

(广西师范大学数学科学学院, 广西桂林 541004)

Abstract By using coincidence degree theory, the sufficient conditions are obtained for existence of at least one periodic solution for a kind of high order Liénard equations with deviating argument.

Key words differential equation, Liénard equations, periodic solution, existence, coincidence degree

摘要: 利用重合度理论, 得到一类具偏差变元的高阶 Liénard 型方程至少存在一个周期解的充分条件。

关键词: 微分方程 Liénard 型方程 周期解 存在性 重合度

中图分类号: O175.12 文献标识码: A 文章编号: 1005-9164(2009)04-0359-05

The existence of periodic solutions for Liénard equations with deviating argument has been studied extensively^[1-4]. The purpose of the article is to investigate the Liénard equations with deviating arguments of the form

$$x^{(n)} + f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t)))x'(t) + g(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t))) = p(t), \quad (1)$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^{m+2}, \mathbb{R})$ are continuous functions and $f(t+T, x, y) = f(t, x, y)$, $\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $g(t+T, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) = g(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$, $\forall (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, $p \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $f_i \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$) with $p(t+T) = p(t)$, $f_i(t+T) = f_i(t)$ and $T \in (0, \infty]$.

In reference [5], Lu discussed the existence of periodic solutions for a kind of second order differential equations with deviating arguments

$$x''(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t)))x'(t) + U(t)g(x(t - f_1(t))) = p(t).$$

In reference [6], Wang studied a kind of high order Liénard equations of the type

$$x^{(n)}(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) + g(x - f(t, x(t))) = p(t).$$

In reference [7], Pan studied the existence of periodic solutions of the following differential equations

$$x^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i x^{(i)}(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t))) + p(t).$$

In this paper, by using Mawhin's continuation theorem, we establish a theorem on the existence of periodic solutions of equation (1). Our method is different from reference [7], and the results of this paper are new and complement previously ones^[1-4]. In addition, we give an example to demonstrate our new results.

1 Preliminaries

For ease of exposition, throughout this paper we adopt the following notations. Let $Y = \{x \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \mid x(t+T) = x(t)\}$ with the norm $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|$ and $X = \{x \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \mid x(t+T) = x(t)\}$ with norm $\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|_\infty, \|x'\|_\infty, \dots, \|x^{(n-1)}\|_\infty\}$ be two Banach spaces, $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm operator of index zero. $P: X \rightarrow X$, $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be projectors such that

$$\text{Im}P = \ker L, \ker Q = \text{Im}L, X = \ker L \oplus \ker P, Y = \text{Im}L \oplus \text{Im}Q.$$

It follows that $L|_{D(L) \cap \ker P}: D(L) \cap \ker P \rightarrow \text{Im}L$ is invertible, we denote the inverse of the map by K_P . Let Ω be an open bounded subset of X , $D(L) \cap \mathbb{K} \neq \emptyset$, the map $N: X \rightarrow Y$ will be called L -compact in Ω ,

收稿日期: 2009-03-06

修回日期: 2009-06-12

作者简介: 刘佳玉 (1985-), 男, 硕士研究生, 主要从事微分方程研究

* 国家自然科学基金项目 (10961005), 广西研究生教育创新计划项目 (2008106020701M234)资助。

if $QN(\mathbb{K})$ is bounded and $K_p(I - Q)N: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow X$ is compact. We also define the operators L and N as follows

$$L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y, Lx = x^{(n)}, D(L) = \{x \mid x \in C^n(R, R), x(t+T) = x(t)\}, \quad (2)$$

$$N: X \rightarrow Y, Nx = -f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t)), x'(t) - g(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t))) + p(t). \quad (3)$$

It is easy to see equation(1) can be converted to the abstract equation $Lx = Nx$. Moreover, from the definition of L , we can see $\ker L = R, \dim(\ker L) = 1, \text{Im}L = \{y \mid y \in Y, \int_0^T y(s)ds = 0\}$ is subset, and $\dim(Y/\text{Im}L) = 1$, we have $\text{codim}(\text{Im}L) = \dim(\ker L)$, so L is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Let $P: X \rightarrow \ker L, Px = x(0), Q: Y \rightarrow Y/\text{Im}L, Qy = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(t)dt$ and let $L|_{D(L) \cap \ker P}: D(L) \cap \ker P \rightarrow \text{Im}L$.

Then $L|_{D(L) \cap \ker P}$ has a unique continuous inverse K_p . One can easily find that N is L -compact in \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is an open bounded subset of X .

Lemma 1^[8] Suppose $x \in C^n(R, R)$ and $x(t+T) = x(t)$ ($0 < T \leq \mathfrak{A}$), then

$$\left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \dots \leq \left(\int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2^[9] Let $\mathfrak{K} \in [0, +\infty)$ be constants, $s \in C(R, R)$ with $s(t+T) = s(t)$, and $s(t) \in [-T, T], \forall t \in [0, T]$. Then $\forall x \in C^1(R, R)$ with $x(t+T) = x(t)$, we have

$$\int_0^T |x(t) - x(t - s(t))|^2 dt \leq 2\mathfrak{K} \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 3^[10] Let L be a Fredholm operator of index zero and let N be L -compact on \mathbb{K} . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx, \forall x \in \mathbb{K} \cap D(L), \lambda \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $QNx \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{K} \cap \ker L$;
- (iii) $\deg\{QN, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} \neq 0$.

Then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\mathbb{K} \cap D(L)$.

2 Main results

Theorem Assume the following conditions hold

(H_i) $\sup_{t,x,y \in R^3} |f(t, x, y)| = A$.

(H_e) There is a constant $c > 0$, such that

$$|g(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) + f(t, x_0, x_{m-1})x'| > |p(t)|_\infty, \quad (6)$$

$\forall t \in R, |x_i| > c, i = 0, 1, \dots, m, m+1$.

(H_b) The function g has the decomposition

$$g(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) = K(t, x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(t, x_i), \quad (7)$$

such that

$$|K(t, x)| \leq U_1 + U_2|x|, \quad (8)$$

$$|h_i(t, x) - h_i(t, y)| \leq T_i|x - y|, i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{h_i(t, x)}{x} \right| \leq V_i, i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (10)$$

where $U_1, U_2, T_i, V_i > 0$.

Then equation(1) has at least one T -periodic solution provided one of the following conditions hold ($0 < \mathfrak{K} \leq \mathfrak{A}$):

$$(A_1) \quad 2^2 \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(t)|_\infty T_i + U_2 T + T \sum_{i=1}^m V_i + A < B,$$

$n = 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

where $B = \min\{1, \frac{1}{T}\}$.

$$(A_2) \quad 2^2 \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(t)|_\infty T_i + U_2 T + T \sum_{i=1}^m V_i + A < 1.$$

$n = 2k - 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof Consider the equation $Lx = \lambda Nx, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, where L and N are defined by formula(2) and formula(3). Let $K_1 = \{x \in D(L) \mid \ker L, Lx = \lambda Nx \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ for $x \in K_1$. We have

$$x^{(n)} + \lambda [f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t)))x'(t) + g(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t)))] = \lambda p(t). \quad (11)$$

Integrating them on $[0, T]$, we have

$$\int_0^T [f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t)))x'(t) + g(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t)))] dt = \int_0^T p(t) dt. \quad (12)$$

We can prove that there is $t_1 \in [0, T]$ such that $|x(t_1)| < c$. Indeed, from formula(12), there is $t_0 \in [0, T]$ such that

$$f(t_0, x(t_0), x(t_0 - f_0(t_0)))x'(t_0) + g(t_0, x(t_0), x(t_0 - f_1(t_0)), \dots, x(t_0 - f_m(t_0))) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T p(t) dt.$$

If $|x(t_0)| \leq c$, then taking $t_1 = t_0$ such that $|x(t_1)| \leq c$.

If $|x(t_0)| > c$, it follows from assumptions (H_e) that there is some $i \in \{1, \dots, m, m+1\}$ such that $|x(t_0 - f_i(t_0))| \leq c$. Since $x(t)$ is continuous for $t \in R$ and $x(t+T) = x(t)$, so there must be an integer k and a point $t_1 \in [0, T]$ such that $t_0 - f_i(t_0) = kT + t_1$. So $|x(t_1)| = |x(t_0 - f_i(t_0))| < c$, which implies

$$\|x(t)\|_{\infty} \leq c + \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt. \quad (13)$$

Suppose $n = 2k$ for a positive integer. Then, multiplying both sides of formula(11) by $x(t)$, and integrating them on $[0, T]$, we have for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (-1) \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt &= -\lambda \int_0^T g(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t))) x(t) dt \\ &- \lambda \int_0^T f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t))) x'(t) x(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T x(t) p(t) dt \\ &= -\lambda \int_0^T f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t))) x'(t) x(t) dt \\ &- \lambda \int_0^T K(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t))) x'(t) x(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T K(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t))) x(t) dt \\ &+ \lambda \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(t, x(t - f_i(t))) x(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T x(t) p(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt &\leq \int_0^T |x'(t)| |x(t)| dt + \int_0^T |K(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt + \int_0^T |p(t)| |x(t)| dt \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t - f_i(t))) - h_i(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Choosing a constant $X > 0$ such that $AT + 2^{\frac{1}{2}} T \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(t)|_{\infty} T_i + U_2 T^2 + T^2 \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i + X) < 1$. For the above constant $X > 0$, from formula(10) we see that there is a constant $W > 0$ such that

$$|h_i(t, x)| < (V_i + X) |x|, \text{ for } |x| > W, t \in [0, T]. \quad (15)$$

Denote

$$\Delta_1 = \{t \in [0, T]: |x(t)| \leq W\}, \Delta_2 = \{t \in [0, T]: |x(t)| > W\}, \quad (16)$$

and then it follows from formula(13), (15), (16) and Schwarz inequality and Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt &= \int_{\Delta_1} |h_i(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt + \int_{\Delta_2} |h_i(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt \\ &\leq h_i^W \int_0^T |x(t)| dt + (V_i + X) |x|_{\infty} \int_0^T |x(t)|^2 dt \leq h_i^W |x|_{\infty} T + (V_i + X) |x|_{\infty}^2 T, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $h_i^W = \max_{t \in [0, T], |x| \leq W} |h_i(t, x)|$. Furthermore, from formula (9), by using Schwarz inequality, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t - f_i(t))) - h_i(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt &\leq |x(t)|_{\infty} \int_0^T |x(t - f_i(t)) - x(t - f_i(t))| dt \\ &\leq (2T)^{\frac{1}{2}} |x(t)|_{\infty} T |f_i(t)|_{\infty} \left(\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From formula(8), (13), we get

$$\int_0^T |K(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt \leq \int_0^T [U_1 + U_2] |x(t)| |x(t)| dt \leq U_1 |x(t)|_{\infty} T + U_2 |x(t)|_{\infty}^2 T. \quad (19)$$

$$\int_0^T |p(t)| |x(t)| dt \leq T |p(t)|_{\infty} |x(t)|_{\infty}. \quad (20)$$

Substituting formula(17)~(20) into formula(14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt &\leq [AT + 2^{\frac{1}{2}} T \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(t)|_{\infty} T_i + U_2 T^2 + T^2 \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i + X)] \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \\ &+ [AT^{\frac{1}{2}} c + (2T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(t)|_{\infty} T + U_1 T^{\frac{3}{2}} + T^{\frac{3}{2}} |p(t)|_{\infty} + T^2 \sum_{i=1}^m h_i^W + 2cU_2 T^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2cT^2 \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i + X)] \left(\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ [U_1 T c + T d |p(t)|_{\infty} + T \sum_{i=1}^m h_i^W + U_2 T c^2 + c^2 T \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i + X)]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From (A1), we obtain that there is a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that $\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \leq M_1$.

Suppose $n = 2k - 1$ for a positive integer. Then, multiplying both sides of formula(11) by $x'(t)$, and integrating them on $[0, T]$, we have for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt &= -\lambda \int_0^T g(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t))) x'(t) dt \\ &- \lambda \int_0^T f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t))) x'(t)^2 dt + \lambda \int_0^T x'(t) p(t) dt \\ &= -\lambda \int_0^T f(t, x(t), x(t - f_0(t))) x'(t)^2 dt - \lambda \int_0^T K(t, x(t)) x'(t) dt \\ &- \lambda \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(t, x(t - f_i(t))) x'(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^T x'(t) p(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt &\leq \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T |K(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt + \int_0^T |p(t)| |x'(t)| dt \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t - f_i(t))) - h_i(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Choosing a constant $X > 0$ such that $2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(t)|_{\infty} T + U_2 T + T \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i + X) + A < 1$.

And then it follows from formula(13), (15), (16) and Schwarz inequality and Lemma 1 that

$$\int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt = \int_{\Delta_1} |h_i(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt + \int_{\Delta_2} |h_i(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt \leq h_i^w \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt + (V_i + X_i c) \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)| dt \right) \left(\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} + (V_i + X_i) \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt, \quad (23)$$

where $h_i^w = \max_{t \in [0, T], |x| \leq w} |h_i(t, x)|$. Furthermore, from formula (9), by using Schwarz inequality and Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t - \tau_i(t))) - h_i(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt \leq T \int_0^T |x(t) - x(t - \tau_i(t))| |x'(t)| dt \leq 2T \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt. \quad (24)$$

From formula(8), (13), by Lemma 2, we get

$$\int_0^T |K(t, x(t))| |x'(t)| dt \leq (U_1 T^{\frac{1}{2}} + U_2 c T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \left(\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + U_2 \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt. \quad (25)$$

By Schwarz inequality and Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^T |p(t)| |x'(t)| dt \leq |p(t)|_{\infty} \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt \leq |p(t)|_{\infty} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (26)$$

Substituting formula(23)~ (26) into formula(22), we have

$$\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \leq [U_1 + U_2 c + \sum_{i=1}^m (h_i^w + V_i c + X_i) |p(t)|_{\infty}] T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + [2T \sum_{i=1}^m T_i \tau_i(t)_{\infty} + U_2 T + \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i + X_i) T + A] \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt. \quad (27)$$

From (A2), we obtain that there is a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that $\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \leq M_2$. Let $M_3 = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$. Thus, $\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \leq M_3$. By Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \leq M_3. \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of formula (11) by $x^{(n)}(t)$, we have

$$\int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt \leq \int_0^T |f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_0(t)))| |x'(t)| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt + \int_0^T |K(t, x(t))| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt + \int_0^T |p(t)| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t - \tau_i(t))) - h_i(t, x(t))| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t))| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt. \quad (29)$$

Since

$$\int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t))| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq [h_i^w + (V_i + X_i c)] \left(\int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} + (V_i + X_i) \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt, \quad (30)$$

by using Schwarz inequality and Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, it follows from formula(9) that

$$\int_0^T |h_i(t, x(t - \tau_i(t))) - h_i(t, x(t))| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq T \int_0^T |x(t) - x(t - \tau_i(t))| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq 2T \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt. \quad (31)$$

From formula(8), (13) and Lemma 1, we get

$$\int_0^T |K(t, x(t))| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq \int_0^T [U_1 + U_2 |x(t)|] |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq (U_1 + U_2 c) T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + U_2 \int_0^T |x^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt. \quad (32)$$

By Schwarz inequality and Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^T |p(t)| |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq |p(t)|_{\infty} \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq |p(t)|_{\infty} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (33)$$

Substituting formula(30)~ (33) into formula(29), we have

$$\int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt \leq [U_1 + U_2 c + \sum_{i=1}^m (h_i^w + V_i c + X_i) |p(t)|_{\infty}] T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + [2T \sum_{i=1}^m T_i \tau_i(t)_{\infty} + U_2 T + \sum_{i=1}^m (V_i + X_i) T + A] \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt. \quad (34)$$

From (A2), we obtain that there is a constant $M > 0$ such that $\int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)|^2 dt \leq M$. We claim that $|x^{(i)}(t)| \leq T^{n-i} \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)| dt, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. In fact, noting that $x^{(n-2)}(0) = x^{(n-2)}(T)$, there must be a constant $Y_1 \in [0, T]$ such that $x^{(n-1)}(Y_1) = 0$, we obtain

$$|x^{(n-1)}(t)| = |x^{(n-1)}(Y_1) + \int_{Y_1}^t x^{(n)}(s) ds| \leq |x^{(n-1)}(Y_1)| + \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)| dt = \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)| dt. \quad (35)$$

Similarly, since $x^{(n-3)}(0) = x^{(n-3)}(T)$, there must be a constant $Y_2 \in [0, T]$ such that $x^{(n-2)}(Y_2) = 0$, from formula(35) we get $|x^{(n-2)}(t)| = |x^{(n-2)}(Y_2) +$

$\int_{\gamma_2}^t x^{(n-1)}(s) ds \leq \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)| dt$. By induction, we have $|x^{(i)}(t)| \leq T^{n-i} \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)| dt, i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Furthermore, we have $|x^{(i)}(t)|_\infty \leq T^{n-i} \int_0^T |x^{(n)}(t)| dt \leq T^{n-i-\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}}, i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

From formula (13) and formula (28), we obtain $|x(t)|_\infty \leq c + T^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c + T^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}}$. It follows that there is a constant $B > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq B$. Thus Ω_1 is bounded.

Let $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \ker L, QNx = 0\}$. Suppose $x \in \Omega_2$, then $|x(t)| = d \in R$ and satisfies

$$QNx = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [-g(t, d, d, \dots, d) + p(t)] dt = 0. \quad (36)$$

From formula (36) and assumption (H), we have $d \leq c$, which implies Ω_2 is bounded. Let Ω be a non-empty open bounded subset of X such that $\Omega \supset \overline{\Omega} \cup \overline{\Omega}_2$. We can easily see that L is a Fredholm operator of index zero and N is L -compact on Ω . Then by the above argument we have (i) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx, \forall x \in \Omega \cap D(L), \lambda \in (0, 1)$; (ii) $QNx \neq 0, \forall x \in \Omega \cap \ker L$.

At last we will prove (iii) of Lemma 3 is satisfied. We take $H(x, _) : \Omega \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X, H(x, _) = _x + \frac{1-_}{T} \int_0^T [-g(t, x(t), x(t - f_1(t)), \dots, x(t - f_m(t))) + p(t)] dt$. From assumption (H), we can easily obtain $H(x, _) \neq 0, \forall (x, _) \in \Omega \cap \ker L \times [0, 1]$, which results in $\deg\{QNx, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} = \deg\{H(x, 0), \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} = \deg\{H(x, 1), \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} \neq 0$.

Hence, by using Lemma 3, we know that equation (1) has at least one T -periodic solution.

3 Example

Consider the following equation $x^{(5)}(t) + \frac{1}{6}(1 + \sin x(t) + \sin x(t - \cos t))x'(t) + (\frac{1}{30} \cos t)x(t) + (\frac{1}{28} \sin t)x(t - \frac{1}{100} \cos t) = \cos t$. Where $n = 5, A = \frac{1}{2}, g(t, x) = (\frac{1}{30} \cos t)x, h_1(t, y) = (\frac{1}{28} \sin t)y, p(t) = \cos t, f_1(t) = \frac{1}{100} \cos t$. Thus, $f_i(t) = \frac{1}{100} \cos$

$t. T = \pi, U_2 = \frac{1}{30}, T_1 = \frac{1}{28}, V_1 = \frac{1}{28}, |f_1(t)|_\infty = \frac{1}{100}$. Obviously assumptions (H) ~ (H) hold and $2^{\frac{1}{2}} T_1 |f_1(t)|_\infty + U_2 T + V_1 T + A = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \frac{1}{28} \times \frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{30} \times \pi + \frac{1}{28} \times \pi + \frac{1}{2} < 1$. By Theorem, we know that this equation has at least one π -periodic solution.

References

- [1] Lu Shiping, Ge Weigao. Periodic solutions for a kind of Liénard equation with a deviating argument [J]. J Math Anal Appl, 2004, 289: 231-243.
- [2] Liu Bingwen, Huang Lihong. Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for a kind of Liénard equation with a deviating argument [J]. Appl Math Lett, 2008, 21: 56-62.
- [3] Zhou Qiyuan, Long Fei. Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for a kind of Liénard equation with two deviating arguments [J]. J Comput Appl Math, 2007, 206 (2): 1127-1136.
- [4] Xiong Wanmin, Zhou Qiyuan, Xiao Bing, et al. Periodic solutions for a kind of Liénard equation with two deviating arguments [J]. Nonlinear Anal, 2007, 8: 787-796.
- [5] Lu Shiping, Ge Weigao. Periodic solutions of the second order differential equation with deviating arguments (in Chinese) [J]. Acta Math Sinica, 2002, 45(4): 811-818.
- [6] Wang Yigao, Lu Shiping. Existence of periodic solutions for a kind of high order Liénard equations (in Chinese) [J]. J of Zhengzhou Univ (Nat Sci Ed), 2008, 40(1): 36-40.
- [7] Pan Lijun. Periodic solutions for higher order differential equations with deviating argument [J]. J Math Anal Appl, 2008, 343: 904-918.
- [8] Mawhin J. An extension of a theorem of A C Lazer on forced nonlinear oscillations [J]. J Math Anal Appl, 1972, 40: 20-29.
- [9] Lu Shiping, Ge Weigao. Sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions to some second order differential equation with a deviating argument [J]. J Math Anal Appl, 2005, 308: 393-419.
- [10] Mawhin J. Degré topologique et solutions périodiques des systèmes différentiels nonlinéaires [J]. Bull Soc Roy Sci Liège, 1969, 38: 308-398.

(责任编辑: 韦廷宗 尹 闯)