

A New Lower Bound of Trivially Non-contractible Edges in a Contraction Critical 5-connected Graph*

收缩临界 5 连通图中平凡不可收缩边的新下界

LI Xiang-jun¹, YUAN Xu-dong²

李向军¹, 袁旭东²

(1. College of Mathematics and Information, Yangtze University, Jingzhou, Hubei, 434102, China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, Guangxi, 541004, China)

(1. 长江大学信息与数学学院, 湖北荆州 434102; 2. 广西师范大学数学科学学院, 广西桂林 541004)

Abstract: It is proved that any contraction critical 5-connected graph on n vertices has at least $n + 1$ trivially non-contractible edges.

Key words: graph, connected graph, contractible edge, contraction critical, fragment

摘要: 证明 n 个顶点的收缩临界 5 连通图中至少有 $n + 1$ 条平凡不可收缩边.

关键词: 图 连通图 收缩边 收缩临界 断片

中图法分类号: O157.5 **文献标识码:** A **文章编号:** 1005-9164(2007)01-0011-04

1 Introduction

We only consider finite and simple graphs. Basically we follow the terminology of Reference [1]. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set V and the edge set E . For a vertex $x \in V$, we denote the neighbourhood of x by $N(x)$, which is the set of vertices adjacent to x . $d(x) = |N(x)|$ denotes the degree of x . $E(x)$ denotes the set of the edges incident with x . For a nonempty set $F \subseteq V$, let $N(F) = (\bigcup_{x \in F} N(x)) - F$ and $\bar{F} = V - (F \cup N(F))$. The set F or the subgraph induced by F is called a fragment of G if $\bar{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $|N(F)| = \kappa(G)$, where $\kappa(G)$ denotes the connectivity number of G . We also call F a $N(F)$ -fragment. For the subsets S and T of V , we denote by $E(S, T)$ the set of edges between S and T . If $S = \{x\}$, then we simply write $E(x, T)$ instead of $E(\{x\}, T)$. For a connected graph G , a subset $S \subseteq$

$V(G)$ is said to be a cut-set of G , if $G - S$ is not connected. A cut-set S is called a k -cut-set if $|S| = k$.

Let G be a k -connected non-complete graph (where $k \geq 2$), an edge of G is called k -contractible if its contraction results still in a k -connected graph. An edge that is not k -contractible is called a non-contractible edge. If G does not have a k -contractible edge, then G is called contraction critical k -connected. It is easy to see that a k -connected graph G is contraction critical if and only if for each edge $e = xy$ of G , G has a k -cut-set containing $\{x, y\}$. If the contraction of $e \in E$ results in a graph with minimum degree $k - 1$, then e is called trivially non-contractible. In other words, e is trivially non-contractible if and only if the two end vertices of e have a common neighbour of degree k .

In 1961, Tutte^[2] proved that any 3-connected graph with order at least 5 had a 3-contractible edge. On the other hand, Thomassen^[3] showed that for $k \geq 4$ there were infinitely many k -connected k -regular graphs in which there was no a k -contractible edge. So it is nature to study the structure of contraction critical k -connected graphs. The contraction critical 4-connected graphs were characterized by Martinov^[4],

收稿日期: 2006-04-06

修回日期: 2006-11-16

作者简介: 李向军(1979-), 男, 助教, 主要从事图论研究.

* Project Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (No. 0640063) and Science and Research Foundation of Guangxi Provincial Education Department (Grant No. [2005]47).

which are two special classes of 4-regular graphs. For $k \geq 5$, the characterization for the contraction critical k -connected graphs seems to be very hard. In general, Egawa^[5] showed that every contraction critical k -connected graph had a vertex of degree at most $\lceil \frac{5k}{4} \rceil - 1$. By Egawa's result, the minimum degree of a contraction critical 5-connected graph is 5. In this direction, more results have been obtained.

Theorem 1^[6,7] Let G be a contraction critical 5-connected graph. Then each vertex of G has a neighbour of degree 5, and thus G has at least $|G|/5$ vertices of degree 5.

Su^[8] proved the results that any vertex of a contraction critical 5-connected graph G had at least two neighbours of degree 5, and thus G had at least $2|G|/5$ vertices of degree 5. The number 'two' is the best possible shown in Reference [9].

Thomassen^[3] proved that any contraction critical k -connected graph contained one triangle. Mader^[10] obtained that every contraction critical k -connected graph G contained at least $|G|/3$ triangles. Recently, Kriesell^[11] further improved Mader's result to that a contraction critical k -connected graph G contained at least $2|G|/3$ triangles.

From these results, we may expect that a contraction critical 5-connected graph has many trivially non-contractible edges. Motivated by this, Ando^[12] considered the distribution of the trivially non-contractible edges in a contraction critical 5-connected graph and proved the following results.

Theorem 2^[12] Any contraction critical 5-connected graph G has least $|G|/2$ trivially non-contractible edges.

Ando guessed that the lower bound of Theorem 2 can be improved to $|G|$, and even to $2|G|$, and he proposed his problem in the China-Japan Joint Conference on Discrete Geometry, Combinatorics and Graph Theory (2005). Here we prove the following result.

Theorem 3 Any contraction critical 5-connected graph G has at least $|G| + 1$ trivially non-contractible edges.

2 Proof of Theorem 3

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3. Before

that we state some lemmas. For the fragments, we have the following properties.

Lemma 1^[10] Let F and F' be two distinct fragments of G , $T = N(F)$, $T' = N(F')$.

(1) If $F \cap F' \neq \phi$, then $|F \cap T'| \geq |\bar{F}' \cap T|$, $|F' \cap T| \geq |\bar{F} \cap T'|$.

(2) If $F \cap F' \neq \phi \neq \bar{F} \cap \bar{F}'$, then both $F \cap F'$ and $\bar{F} \cap \bar{F}'$ are fragments of G , and $N(F \cap F') = (T \cap T') \cup (T \cap \bar{F}') \cup (F \cap T')$, $N(\bar{F} \cap \bar{F}') = (T \cap T') \cup (T \cap \bar{F}') \cup (\bar{F} \cap T')$.

Lemma 2^[7] Let G be a contraction critical 5-connected graph and F a fragment of G . If $w \in N(F)$, $N(w) \cap N(F) \neq \phi$ and $|\bar{F}| \geq 2$, then $N(w) \cap (F \cup N(F))$ contains a vertex of degree 5.

Lemma 3^[11] Let G be a contraction critical 5-connected graph and A a fragment of cardinality 2 in G . If $N(A)$ has two vertices $x \neq y$ such that $|N(x) \cap A| = |N(y) \cap A| = 1$, then one of x, y has a neighbour of degree 5 in $N(A) - \{x, y\}$.

In the following, we always assume that G is a contraction critical 5-connected graph. Let E^* denote the set of the trivially non-contractible edges of G , and let $\beta(x) = |E(x) \cap E^*|$. Denote $V_5 := \{v \in V(G) | d(v) = 5\}$.

Let $T = \{a_1, a_2, x, y, z\}$ be a 5-cut-set of G , $A = \{u, v\}$ a component of $G - T$ such that $\{u, v, z\} \subseteq V_5(G)$, $G[A] \cong K_2$, $N(u) = \{a_1, a_2, x, z, v\}$, $N(v) = \{a_1, a_2, z, y, u\}$, $yz \in E$, $yx \in E$, there may exist other edges among the vertices of T . We call the induced subgraph $G[V(A) \cup T]$ a K_2 -configuration with centre x .

Proposition 1 Let x be a vertex of G such that $\beta(x) \leq 1$, or $\beta(x) = 2$ and $E(x) \cap E^*$ be in a triangle, then G has a K_2 -configuration with centre x .

Proof Since $\beta(x) \leq 1$ or $\beta(x) = 2$ and $E(x) \cap E^*$ be in a triangle, for any fragment A with $x \in N(A)$ we have that $E(x, A) \cap E^* = \phi$ or $E(x, \bar{A}) \cap E^* = \phi$. We consider the fragments A such that $N(A)$ contains an edge of $E(x) - E^*$ and $E(x, A) \cap E^* = \phi$, among them we choose A such that $|A|$ is minimum. As $N(A)$ contains an edge of $E(x) - E^*$, so $|A| \geq 2$ and $|\bar{A}| \geq 2$.

Claim 1 $|A| = 2$.

Proof We only need to prove that $|A| \leq 2$. Let $u \in N(x) \cap A$, then $xu \in E(x) - E^*$. Let S be a

5-cut-set containing $\{x, u\}$, B a S -fragment of G . Since xu is an element of $E(x) - E^*$, then $|B| \geq 2$, $|\bar{B}| \geq 2$. Let $T = N(A)$.

We first assume $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. If $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 1(2) and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, we get $A \cap B$ is a fragment, note $A \cap B$ is a fragment such that $T' := N(A \cap B) = (A \cap S) \cup (S \cap T) \cup (B \cap T)$ contains an element of $E(x) - E^*$ and $E(x, A \cap B) \cap E^* = \emptyset$. Further more, $u \in A \cap S$, $|A \cap B| \leq |A| - |A \cap S| \leq |A| - 1$, which contradicts the choice of fragment A , so $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$ and $A \cap B$ isn't a fragment, thus $|(A \cap S) \cup (S \cap T) \cup (B \cap T)| \geq 6$. If $A \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset$, arguing similarly, we can obtain $\bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $|\bar{A} \cap S| = |\bar{A}| \geq 2$. Since $|(A \cap S) \cup (S \cap T) \cup (B \cap T)| \geq 6$, $|S| = |(A \cap S) \cup (S \cap T) \cup (\bar{A} \cap S)| = 5$, we have $|B \cap T| \geq |\bar{A} \cap S| + 1 \geq 3$. $|\bar{B} \cap T| \geq 3$ follows similarly, note $x \in S \cap T$, thus $|T| = |B \cap T| + |S \cap T| + |\bar{B} \cap T| \geq 7$, a contradiction. So $A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$, and $|\bar{B} \cap T| = |\bar{B}| \geq 2$. Then we get $|A \cap S| \geq |\bar{B} \cap T| + 1 \geq 3$ in the same way. Since $x \in S \cap T$, $|S| = 5$, then we have $|\bar{A} \cap S| \leq 1$. But we know $|\bar{A}| \geq 2$, so $\bar{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 1(1), we get $|\bar{A} \cap S| \geq |T \cap \bar{B}| \geq 2$, which contradicts $|\bar{A} \cap S| \leq 1$. So $A \cap B = \emptyset$. By symmetry, we have $A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$.

Then we have $A \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap \bar{B}$, That's to say, $A \subseteq S$. If $|A| \geq 3$, then $|\bar{A} \cap S| \leq 1$. For $|\bar{A}| \geq 2$, either $\bar{A} \cap B$ or $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ is nonempty. We assume that $\bar{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$ without loss generality. By Lemma 1(1), we get $|B \cap T| \geq |A \cap S| \geq 3$. If $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 1(1), we get $|\bar{B} \cap T| \geq |A \cap S| \geq 3$. We have $|T| = |B \cap T| + |S \cap T| + |\bar{B} \cap T| \geq 3 + 1 + 3 = 7$, a contradiction. So $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$, then $|\bar{B} \cap T| = |\bar{B}| \geq 2$, thus $|T| = |B \cap T| + |S \cap T| + |\bar{B} \cap T| \geq 3 + 1 + 2 = 6$, a contradiction. Then we have $|A| \leq 2$, so $|A| = 2$. The proof of Claim 1 is completed.

By Claim 1, we let $A = \{u, v\}$, $T = \{a_1, a_2, x, y, z\}$, $xu, yx \in E(x) - E^*$. First, we claim $d(u) = 5$. If $d(u) \neq 5$, then $d(u) = 6$, thus $N(u) = \{v\} \cup T$. If $d(v) = 5$, then $N(v) = \{a_1, a_2, z, y, u\}$; otherwise, $xu \in E^*$ is a trivially non-contractible edge, which contradicts our assumption $E(x, A) \cap E^* = \emptyset$, so $N(x) \cap A \cap V_5 = \emptyset$. If $d(v) = 6$, we also have $N(x) \cap A \cap V_5 = \emptyset$. Then by Lemma 2, there exists a

vertex $w \in \{a_1, a_2, y, z\}$ and $d(w) = 5$, $xw \in E$, thus $xu \in E(x, A) \cap E^*$, a contradiction. So we have $d(u) = 5$. Because $xy \notin E^*$, we have $uy \in E$, then $N(u) = \{a_1, a_2, x, z, v\}$. We next claim $d(v) = 5$. If $d(v) \neq 5$, then $d(v) = 6$, thus $xv \in E^*$, contradicts $E(x, A) \cap E^* = \emptyset$.

From above, we know $|N(x) \cap A| = |N(y) \cap A| = 1$, by Lemma 3, there exists a vertex $w \in V_5 \cap \{a_1, a_2, z\}$ and $w \in N(x)$ or $w \in N(y)$. If $w \in N(x)$, then $ux \in E^*$, a contradiction, so $w \in N(y)$. Without loss of generality, we let w be z . From above, we obtain a K_2 -configuration with centre x , and the proof of Proposition 1 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 3 Let $V_0 = \{x \in V(G) | \beta(x) \leq 1\}$, $V_2 = \{x \in V(G) | \beta(x) = 2\}$, By Proposition 1, for every $x \in V_0$, G has a K_2 -configuration with centre x . In this K_2 -configuration, there exists a $u_x \in A \cap N(x)$, $N(u_x) \cap V_0 = \{x\}$ and $\beta(u_x) = 4$. For each $x \in V_0$, we can find only one u_x , thus we define $V_1 = \{u_x | x \in V_0\}$. Obviously, $|V_1| = |V_0|$. Let $V_3 = V(G) - (V_0 \cup V_1 \cap V_2)$. In addition, in this K_2 -configuration, there is a $v_x \in A - N(x)$ and $\beta(v_x) = 5$. So if $|V_3| = 0$ then $|V_0| = 0$.

Considering the cardinality of E^* , we have $2|E^*| = \sum_{v \in V} \beta(v) = \sum_{v \in V_0} \beta(v) + \sum_{v \in V_1} \beta(v) + \sum_{v \in V_2} \beta(v) + \sum_{v \in V_3} \beta(v) \geq 0 + 4|V_1| + 2|V_2| + 3|V_3| = 2|V_0| + 2|V_1| + 2|V_2| + 2|V_3| + |V_3| = 2|V(G)| + |V_3| \geq 2|V(G)|$, thus $|E^*| \geq |G|$, the equality holds only when $V_3 = V_0 = \emptyset$ and $\beta(v) = 2$ for each $v \in V$.

Next, we claim that the equality doesn't hold. If not, there is a contraction critical 5-connected graph G with $|E^*| = |G|$. We can easily to see that $|E^*| = |G|$ if and only if for each $v \in V$, $\beta(v) = 2$, thus locally to see, the structure of every vertex x and $E(x) \cap E^* = \{xy, xz\}$ has only following 3 cases:

- Case(1) xyz be a triangle with $y \in V_5, z \in V_5$;
- Case(2) uyx and vzx are two edge-disjoint triangles, $z, y \notin V_5$ and $u, v \in V_5$;
- Case(3) xwy and xwz are two triangles which have a common edge, $w \in V_5, y \notin V_5, z \in V_5$.

If Case (1) occurs, by Proposition 1, G has a K_2 -configuration with center x , thus there is a vertex $u \in V, \beta(u) = 4$, a contradiction. Then Case (1) doesn't

occur for every vertex x , thus for each $x \in V, N(x) \cap V_5$ is an independent set.

Now we only consider the vertex of degree 5, that's to say $x \in V_5$.

If Case (2) occurs, for $y, \{u, x\} \subseteq N(y) \cap V_5, ux \in E$, contradicts that $N(y) \cap V_5$ is an independent set. If Case (3) occurs, for $y, \{x, w\} \subseteq N(y) \cap V_5, xw \in E$, contradicts that $N(y) \cap V_5$ is an independent set.

So for $x \in V_5$, none of the Cases (1), (2), (3) occurs, but V_5 isn't empty, a contradiction. So our assumption is absurd, which means $|E^*| \geq |G| + 1$. The proof is completed.

Corollary 1 G is a contraction critical 5-connected graph of order n , then G contains at least $n/3$ triangles.

Proof If the number of triangles in G is less than $n/3$, then the number of edges in triangles is less than n . But every trivially non-contractible edge is in a triangle, by Theorem 3, G has at least n edges in triangles, this is a contradiction.

References:

- [1] BONDY J A, MURTY U S R. Graph Theory with Application[M]. New York: Macmillan, 1976.
- [2] TUTTE W T. A theory of 3-connected graphs[J]. Nederl Akad Wet Proc; Ser A, 1961, 64: 441-455.
- [3] THOMASSEN C. Nonseparating cycles in k -connected

- graphs[J]. J Graph Theory, 1981, 5(4): 351-354.
- [4] MARTINOV N. Uncontractable 4-connected graphs[J]. J Graph Theory, 1982, 6(3): 343-344.
- [5] EGAWA Y. Contractible edges in n -connected graphs with minimum degree greater than or equal to $\lfloor \frac{5n}{4} \rfloor$ [J]. Graphs Combin, 1991, 7(1): 15-21.
- [6] ANDO K, KAWARABAYASHI K, KANEKO A. Vertices of degree 5 in a contraction critically 5-connected graph[J]. Graphs Combin, 2005, 21(1): 27-37.
- [7] YUAN XUDONG. The contractible edges of 5-connected graphs [J]. J Guangxi Normal University, 1994, 12(3): 30-32.
- [8] SU JIANJI. The vertices of degree 5 in contraction critical 5-connected graph [J]. J Guangxi Normal University, 1997, 15(3): 12-16.
- [9] QIN CHENGFU. The properties of a contraction critical 5-connected graph [D]. Guilin: Guangxi Normal University, 2004.
- [10] MADER W. Generalizations of critical connectivity of graphs[J]. Discrete Math, 1988, 72(1/3): 267-283.
- [11] KRIESELL M. Triangle Density and Contractibility [J]. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 2005, 14(1/2): 133-146.
- [12] ANDO K. Trivially noncontractible edges in a contraction critically 5-connected graph [J]. Discrete Math, 2005, 293(1/3): 61-72.

(责任编辑: 邓大玉 蒋汉明)

调控干细胞分裂的新分子被发现

每一个干细胞都具有分裂成为两种细胞的能力, 其中之一的细胞会在分裂后快速分化发育, 成为体细胞的一部分; 另一种细胞则仍然保有干细胞的能力, 随时保持分裂行为中母细胞的能力, 因此, 干细胞才能源源不绝地在体内随时待命, 适时修补破损的细胞与组织。

然而, 分裂中的干细胞为了确定母细胞在分裂周期中顺利产生子细胞, 就必须沿着事先出现的轴线, 进行所谓的分裂动作。如果干细胞在任何一个动作中出现了异常现象, 就可能会导致分裂不完全, 不仅会失去干细胞原先的功用, 还可能导致肿瘤细胞产生。干细胞的分裂行为, 与肿瘤细胞的增生失控, 是一体两面的事。

巴塞罗那生物医学研究院的科学家通过高分辨率显微镜的辅助, 以数百张的影像观察细胞分裂的过程。他们除了观察到中心体在分裂的过程中占有关键性的角色之外, 还看到了一个原本被定义成肿瘤抑制分子的蛋白质, 参与了中心体的调控过程。他们找到了一个从未发现过的, 可以主宰和影响干细胞分裂行为的调控机制。如果细胞的分裂真是如此, 那么研究细胞变异, 发生癌化的机制就又多了一条切入的线索。

(据科学网)